Evidence of How Schools and Families Can Work Together to Promote Civic Empowerment

Adding to a growing list of empirical evidence, new research on Kids Voting USA— an interactive civics curriculum taught during election campaigns in 39 states—indicates that the program has positive effects on the civic and political growth of both students and their parents. "CIRCLE Working Paper 07: The Civic Bonding of School and Family" provides a comprehensive explanation of just how this program brings together two powerful institutions—schools and families—to address generational declines in political engagement. A copy of the paper can be downloaded from CIRCLE’s Web site (www.civicyouth.org).

According to the paper author, Dr. Michael McDevitt of the University of Colorado, "We felt it was important to focus our research on the under-examined but powerful linkage between school and family. The goal of the study was to develop a better understanding of how Kids Voting USA creates a civic bonding of school and family, in which students influence parents to pay more attention to politics, and parents encourage students to participate more actively in civic activities at school." The paper includes findings from the first wave of a panel study in which over 500 high school students and their parents, representing over 150 schools, were surveyed in Maricopa County, AZ; El Paso County, CO; and Broward/Palm Beach Counties, FL. Focus group interviews were also held with students to supplement the survey data.

What Works: Activities that Promote Civic Growth

Students in Kids Voting learn about civics by participating in a variety of activities such as taking sides in classroom debates, analyzing political cartoons, and even working at polling sites. One of the main purposes of this research was to determine which of these activities had the greatest influence on the civic growth of students. Two curriculum activities in particular—frequent classroom discussion about election issues and asking others to vote—stood out as the most influential with respect to students’ media use, cognition, discussion skills, political opinions, and civic behaviors and intentions.
to receive real-time feedback in response to their opinions on a variety of issues.

**CLOSING GAPS ALONG ETHNIC LINES: THE CONTENT MAY MATTER**

In addition to pinpointing the effects of different curriculum components, the study is the first to document systematic evidence that the *Kids Voting* program promotes equality of civic development among students from different racial and ethnic backgrounds. Traditionally, there have been substantial gaps between non-white and white students in many indicators of civic and political involvement, with white students scoring at higher levels. However, in El Paso County, CO Latino students (along with adolescents from other minority groups) scored the same as non-Latino white students on numerous indicators of civic involvement, including newspaper use, knowledge, strength of partisan opinions, and frequency of discussion. Here, the *Kids Voting* students followed the narrow defeat of Amendment 31—an initiative which would have curtailed bilingual education in Colorado. The gap-closing may have occurred as a result of Latino students applying what they learned through the curriculum to an issue that had a very direct and personal effect on their lives.

**CREATING NEW FAMILY HABITS**

While *Kids Voting* directly affected student behavior, at the same time it helped to alter family behavior. For example, according to McDevitt, “Families with students participating in the program increased the frequency with which family members encouraged each other to use news media; strengthened the perception of family members that they can carry on political conversations; and promoted an environment in which parents and students held strong opinions on political issues.” The paper shows that the interactive
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curriculum causes a “boomerang influence,” in which students initiate conversations with their parents about political issues, which in turn stimulates parent conversations about such issues and leads to more parental interest in their children’s civic education.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR CIVICS INSTRUCTION

In light of these findings, the authors offer the following recommendations for civic education.

1. School administrators and parents should encourage teachers to allow for political discussion and debate, even if the topics are contentious. Frequently in the focus groups, students stressed the need for enthusiastic teachers who engage students in the learning process with interactive approaches.

2. Schools should engage students and families through issues that directly and personally affect them. While many of these students were already interested in politics, they suggested that their peers would become more involved when issues that were highly relevant to them were emphasized at school. Some of these topics include higher education funding, drinking age, voting age, and school policies. In addition, many students explained that their use of media was partially determined by whether news sources presented information of direct interest to teens.

3. Teachers should implement activities such as student campaigns that mobilize adults to vote. Along with classroom discussion, service-learning activities and the act of encouraging others to vote are the types of activities that empower students and heighten their sense of political efficacy.

TABLE 1: Most Effective Kids Voting Curriculum Components

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CURRICULUM COMPONENT</th>
<th>MEDIA USE</th>
<th>COGNITION</th>
<th>DISCUSSION</th>
<th>OPINIONS</th>
<th>CIVIC BEHAVIOR AND INTENTIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Discussing elections in class</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher encouragement to express opinions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taking sides in debates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analyzing political cartoons</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analyzing political ads</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service-learning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working at polling sites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encouraging people to vote</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family homework assignments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voting with parent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All of the reported positive student effects presented are statistically significant at varying levels. Please see the appendix of CIRCLE Working Paper 07 for more information on the correlations.
Over the past twenty years, the Latino population has doubled and now constitutes the largest minority group in the United States. Moreover, this population is young, with a median age of 26, and represents a potential bloc of voters who could shape policy for many years to come. So far, unlike immigrant groups in the past, this rapidly expanding group has not realized its own voting power. According to “CIRCLE Working Paper 10: Mobilizing the Latino Youth Vote” by Dr. Melissa Michelson of California State University, Fresno, one powerful way to address the problem of low Latino voter turnout is to “return to old-fashioned personal canvassing efforts.” In particular, the paper presents evidence that Latino youth (ages 18-25) are more likely to vote if asked to do so face-to-face.

FINDING WHAT WORKS: THE MESSAGE OR THE MESSENGER?

In the fall of 2002, Michelson conducted a randomized field experiment in Fresno, CA to increase voter turnout among young Latinos. The purpose of the experiment was to determine if the effectiveness of canvassing varies among registered voters of different races and ethnicities. Is canvassing equally effective in getting both registered Latinos and registered voters of other ethnic and racial backgrounds to cast a ballot? In addition, the experiment tried to determine if (1) the race/ethnicity of the canvasser and/or (2) the message delivered by the canvasser had any effect on the number of voters mobilized.

The experiment involved sending forty matched pairs of canvassers (either two Latinos or two non-Latinos) door-to-door during the final two weekends before the gubernatorial election to urge registered young people to vote. Each voter was randomly assigned to receive one of two messages. They were either given a message stressing civic duty or one that stressed community solidarity.

LATINO ACTIVISTS SUCCESSFULLY MOBILIZE LATINO VOTERS

Michelson found that going door-to-door and asking registered voters to vote on Election Day indeed led to increased turnout among young Latinos. In addition, the study revealed that Latinos were more likely to vote if contacted by another Latino than if contacted by someone of another ethnicity. Latino canvassers were also more effective in getting potential voters, especially Latino voters, to open their door to listen to the canvassers’ message. This could be due to a number of reasons. Michelson states, “It is possible that Latino residents were more likely to open their door if approached by other Latinos or it could be that the Latino canvassers were more comfortable in these neighborhoods and thus had more effective strategies for making contact with potential voters.”

Finally, the study revealed that the content of the mobilization message appears to not have an effect on turnout. Those who received the civic duty message were no more or less likely to vote than those receiving the community solidarity message. Michelson’s findings indicate that the fundamental key to increasing Latino turnout lies in increasing the face-to-face contact with Latino voter activists. She concludes, “Turnout rates for young Latinos [are] likely to remain low unless dramatic changes are made to get-out-the-vote efforts. In order to increase Latino turnout, more Latinos need to have face-to-face contact with a voter mobilization activist. In order to have a real impact on the young Latino vote, these efforts must be made by Latino activists.”

A copy of the paper can be downloaded from CIRCLE’s Web site (www.civicyouth.org).

SKILLS FOR EFFECTIVE CIVIC ACTION

Written for educators and researchers alike, “CIRCLE Working Paper 06: The Role of Civic Skills in Fostering Civic Engagement” by Dr. Mary Kirlin takes a look at what we know and don’t know about the skills that are crucial for active participation in civic life. The paper gives a comprehensive snapshot of the broad and varied research findings on civic skills—skills that enable people to take effective civic action such as writing letters to a member of Congress or defending a position on a public issue. In addition, the paper includes a new typology of civic skills and provides direction for future research. A copy of the paper can be downloaded from CIRCLE’s Web site (www.civicyouth.org).

1 The Latino population in the United States faces two barriers to voting that will likely be overcome in the future. First, a large portion of the population is below the legal voting age of 18. Second, many Latinos living in the United States are currently ineligible to vote because they have not yet become naturalized citizens. For more information see CIRCLE Fact Sheet: Electoral Engagement Among Latino Youth.

2 The only canvassing efforts that had a statistically significant impact on voter turnout were the Latino-on-Latino personal contacts.
FROM YOUTH PROGRAMS TO POLITICAL SCIENCE, A BROAD INTEREST IN CIVIC SKILLS EXISTS

The literature review gives a wide-ranging synopsis of the most important research findings to date on civic skills. Covering the work of educators, experiential program leaders, psychologists, political scientists, and those who study youth development, Kirlin maps out how these experts connect civic skills to civic engagement. She finds that each discipline has made important first steps in documenting which skills young people need to develop in order to lead active civic and political lives.

For example, education researchers have investigated what students should know about civics and what students actually know. Their work formed the backbone of both the National Standards for Civic Education and the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP). At the same time, political scientists such as Verba, Schlozman and Brady1 conducted ground-breaking research that helped to define and measure the concept of civic skills. Finally, developmental psychologists along with experiential program leaders have documented how young people practice and develop civic skills through their involvement in local groups, organizations, and institutions. Approaching the subject from different angles, the various disciplines provide a rich yet dispersed discussion of the skills one needs to be active in civic and political life.

CREATING A COMMON FRAMEWORK FOR UNDERSTANDING CIVIC SKILLS

In addition to bringing together what different fields and disciplines know about civic skills, Kirlin organizes and synthesizes the many divergent findings. The result is a typology of civic skills (see page 6) made up of the four dominant skill categories that emerged in the research: organization, communication, collective decision-making, and critical thinking. While the typology was originally designed to help researchers examine the different environments in which civic skills are acquired, it can also be used by school and youth program administrators interested in a quick list of specific civic skills.

FUTURE RESEARCH NEEDS: MOVING BEYOND THEORETICAL PERSPECTIVES

According to Kirlin, “The literature about civic skills is very promising but leaves many unanswered questions.” Following is a sample of observations included in the literature review about the state of knowledge concerning civic skills as well as questions to be explored in future research.

1. Civic skills are not well defined. Verba et al provide a very good beginning for defining communication skills, and an acceptable start for identifying organization skills, but more remains to be done in the remaining categories of collective decision-making and critical thinking.

2. We need to better understand how to measure many of the items that are emerging as civic skills. For example, how do we measure whether an individual possesses the civic skills needed to effectively work in a collective decision-making arena?

3. The various disciplines have different ideas about how civic skills relate to broader questions of civic engagement, civic socialization, and political participation. A cross-disciplinary approach is likely to be the most fruitful for obtaining more complete answers, especially about the process of civic skill acquisition for adolescents.

4. Ultimately, this research is useful not only for understanding factors in political participation, but also for encouraging political participation. Thus, civic definitions must eventually be linked to pedagogical, curricular, and program design elements and subsequently made available to teachers and program developers.

EDUCATION AND CIVIC ENGAGEMENT: DOES PUBLIC INVESTMENT PAY OFF?

Political scientists have long shown that people with higher levels of educational attainment tend to vote at higher rates, know more about how the government works, and overall are more civically engaged. In fact, a major reason for establishing public schools was the belief that education boosts citizenship. Two new CIRCLE

### TABLE 2 SELECTIONS FROM THE TYPOLOGY OF CIVIC SKILLS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>Skills as defined by author(s)</th>
<th>Organization, communication, collective decision making or critical thinking</th>
<th>Empirical measurement if available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Battistoni in Mann and Patrick 2000, similar listing in Battistoni 2003</td>
<td>“achieve compromises and solve problems when conflict occurs” pg. 36</td>
<td>Collective decision making</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boyte in Mann and Patrick 2000</td>
<td>Work in a team</td>
<td>Collective decision making</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morse 1993</td>
<td>“practice in dealing with difficult decisions for which there are no right or wrong answers”</td>
<td>Collective decision making</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verba, Schlozman and Brady 1995</td>
<td>Write a letter</td>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>Written a letter?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verba, Schlozman and Brady 1995</td>
<td>Make a speech or presentation</td>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>Given a presentation or speech?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torney-Purta 2002</td>
<td>Skills tested in 14 year olds include the ability to interpret political communication (leaflets and cartoons)</td>
<td>Communication</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patrick 2003 and National Standards for Civics and Government (Center for Civic Education 1994)</td>
<td>Synthesizing and explaining information about political and civic life</td>
<td>Critical thinking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patrick 2003 and National Standards for Civics and Government (Center for Civic Education 1994)</td>
<td>Evaluating, taking, and defending positions on public events and issues</td>
<td>Critical thinking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patrick 2003 and National Standards for Civics and Government (Center for Civic Education 1994)</td>
<td>Thinking constructively about how to improve political and civic life</td>
<td>Critical thinking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boyte in Mann and Patrick 2000</td>
<td>Plan strategies</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morgan and Streb 2001</td>
<td>Help to plan the project</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patrick 2000</td>
<td>Implementing policy decisions on public issues</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verba, Schlozman and Brady 1995</td>
<td>Attend a meeting where decisions are made</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Come to a meeting where you took part in decision making?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Working Papers by Dr. Thomas Dee of Swarthmore College take an in-depth look at this issue—considering factors ignored by previous research and providing new evidence that increased educational attainment indeed leads to increased civic engagement.

**UNRAVELING THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN EDUCATION AND CIVIC ENGAGEMENT**

In “CIRCLE Working Paper 08: Are There Civic Returns to Education?” Dee questions the reliability of prior research findings, which show a strong correlation between educational attainment and civic engagement. To examine whether additional education has a causal effect on future civic engagement, his study takes advantage of two events that constitute “natural experiments.”

Dee first shows that these two events—the increase in the number of two-year colleges and the passing of child labor laws—led to substantial increases in educational attainment. Dee also presents evidence that neither of these natural experiments improved civic behaviors or knowledge independently of their effects on education.

However, taking advantage of the variation in child labor laws and the availability of two-year colleges, Dee does find that there is a causal link between higher levels of educational attainment and civic engagement. Specifically, Dee examines how much more likely a person is to vote and volunteer if they have entered college. He also assesses whether additional schooling influenced attitudes toward free speech and the frequency of newspaper readership. He finds that additional schooling increases voter turnout, support for free speech and newspaper readership but not necessarily the propensity to volunteer.

The results provide strong evidence that the amount of education one attains indeed has independent effects on both the quantity and quality of civic participation. These findings have significant implications for education policy. According to Dee, “The apparent existence of these civic returns implies that much of the long-lived hyperbole about the important role of education in a functioning democracy may be true.”

**THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATHOLIC SCHOOLS AND VOTING**

In “CIRCLE Working Paper 09: The Effects of Catholic School on Civic Participation,” Dee explores whether public and private schools have different effects on adult voter participation and volunteering. More specifically, he questions...
INNOVATIVE STRATEGIES FOR BRINGING CIVIC EDUCATION TO LIFE

In February 2003, CIRCLE and Carnegie Corporation of New York released the consensus report *The Civic Mission of Schools*. The report outlines a number of recommendations and promising approaches for civic education. Many schools around the country already demonstrate effective methods to engage students in civic learning. The following schools are just a few that offer innovative strategies for bringing civic education to life.

At Cesar Chavez Public Policy High School in Washington, DC you will not find a course listing for *Civics 101*. But, you will find students researching policy issues, debating their positions in class, and designing “public policy capstones” – culminating projects that seek to address an issue. Students have volunteered, protested, lobbied, and written letters on issues ranging from the wages and working conditions of migrant laborers to the school ban on cell phones (since overturned). According to recent graduate Cristin Owens, “I feel like I can change things in my community. Before, if there was a problem in my neighborhood I would have moved away. Chavez taught me how to address community problems by rallying my neighbors, writing my representative, or sending a petition to the Mayor and City Council. I can now use what I learned to motivate others.”

The work of high school students at Cesar Chavez may seem advanced, but the experience of students at Nursery Road Elementary School in Columbia, SC shows that you’re never too young to start teaching democracy. It all started when staff at Nursery Road began thinking of ways to change community culture and make voting a rite of passage worthy of celebration. They decided to throw a voter registration party for high school students, and put the skills of their own students to work. Fourth graders researched the process of voter registration, and sent letters to Irmo High School students explaining the details. Second graders designed raffle tickets, and teachers secured donations from local businesses. Kindergartners made festive bags of red, white, and blue M&M’s. When the day came—complete with balloons, candy, and almost 500 students—the Nursery Road students were ready. Third graders signed in their high school “guests” and guided them through the raffle process. Fifth graders registered new voters. And, second graders stamped and mailed the registrations. All of their party planning and teamwork paid off; at the end of the day, 300 new voters were registered!

According to Sarah Sheely, Media Specialist at Nursery Road, the party was a great experience for everyone involved: “The teachers who were involved can’t wait to do it again next year. We already have a date set with the high school!”

The school’s enthusiasm for voting shows no sign of waning. Plans are in the works to bring newly-registered high school students back to Nursery Road Elementary to show off their voter registration cards and to register 3rd graders for school elections. Teachers are also planning ways to make the school a more exciting precinct for elections. They hope to offer refreshments, decorations, and even live music to encourage voter turnout.

Hudson High in Hudson, MA is another school that takes its civic mission to heart. Hudson builds its curriculum on the value of democracy. In fact, the idea of democratic town hall meetings has driven the architectural design of a new school building. Once construction is complete, students will meet in thematic “clusters” of 100-150 students where they will explore a shared interest in-depth. The new structure will encourage greater interaction between students and teachers, and will allow for a good deal of student input. Cluster members will discuss and debate school
issues, and brainstorm solutions. Each cluster will have a delegate to the Community Council where students will make recommendations for the school. Sheldon Berman, Superintendent of Schools, explains the philosophy behind the cluster model. “What we are doing is taking the bold step of creating small communities within the high school that involve students in the very governance of their school. And the students are very excited!”

Each of these schools has been recognized as a First Amendment School in a program supported by the Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development and the First Amendment Center. The initiative recognizes schools that provide students and their entire school communities with opportunities to practice democracy and uphold inalienable rights. Sam Chaltain, Coordinator of the First Amendment Schools initiative sees public schools as potential “laboratories for democracy.” He explains, “Like everything else, democracy and freedom take practice. Where better to pass on those skills and that message than in our nation’s public schools?”

### 6 Promising Approaches to Civic Education

The Civic Mission of Schools outlines approaches deemed most effective for teaching civic education.

1. Provide instruction in government, history, law and democracy by doing more than teaching rote facts about procedures.
2. Incorporate discussions of relevant current issues and events in the classroom, including complex and controversial topics.
3. Implement programs that link community service to formal curriculum and classroom instruction.
4. Offer extra-curricular activities that involve young people in their schools or communities.
5. Encourage student participation in school governance.
6. Encourage student participation in simulations of democratic processes and procedures, such as voting, trials, legislative deliberations and diplomacy.

For more information on the schools above please view:

- The Civic Mission of Schools webpage: [www.civicmissionofschools.org](http://www.civicmissionofschools.org)
- First Amendment Schools [www.firstamendmentschools.org/](http://www.firstamendmentschools.org/)
- Nursery Road Elementary School [www.1ex5.k12.sc.us/nres/default.htm](http://www.1ex5.k12.sc.us/nres/default.htm)
- Hudson High School [www.hudson.k12.ma.us/](http://www.hudson.k12.ma.us/)

If you know of other schools that have put the “6 Promising Approaches to Civic Education” to work in schools, please contact us and let us know.
**APPLY FOR CIRCLE FUNDING: THREE NEW RFPs ANNOUNCED**

CIRCLE is pleased to announce three new grant competitions for research on the civic engagement of young Americans. The three RFPs and application guidelines can be found at [www.civicyouth.org/grants](http://www.civicyouth.org/grants). Please note that the deadline for submitting mandatory letters of inquiry is **September 19, 2003**. Anyone interested in applying for CIRCLE funding should visit our Web site, as our granting policies have changed.

CIRCLE grants are made possible by the generous support of The Pew Charitable Trusts and Carnegie Corporation of New York.

---

**WHAT CIRCLE SUPPORTS**

CIRCLE funds research, not practice. However, all CIRCLE-funded research should have implications for specified categories of practitioners such as legislators, candidates, teachers, educational administrators, youth-serving organizations, journalists, professional associations, or nonprofits that work to encourage youth voting and political participation.

---

**PROPOSALS FUNDED BETWEEN FEBRUARY 2003 AND JUNE 2003**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Author(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>“Giving Back to the Community: African American Inner City Teens and Civic Engagement”</td>
<td>Michelle Charles, Communications Consulting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>“Best Practices in Nonpartisan Youth Voter Mobilization”</td>
<td>Carolyn Darrow, Youth Vote Coalition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>“Making the Link: Religious Communities, Religious Identity and Civic Engagement Among Young People”</td>
<td>Anna Greenberg, Reboot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>“America’s Digital Generation: Youth, ICTs and the Impact on Civil Society”</td>
<td>Paul G. Harwood, University of North Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>“Generations, Agendas, and Participation”</td>
<td>Merrill Shanks, Douglas Strand, Henry Brady, and Edward Carmines, Survey Research Center, University of California, Berkeley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>“Throwing a Better Party: Mobilizing Institutions and the Youth Vote”</td>
<td>Daniel M. Shea, Allegheny College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>“Powerful Spaces: Urban Youth, Community Organizations and Democratic Action”</td>
<td>Jennifer O’Donoghue, Stanford University, Doctoral Candidate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>“A Conceptual Framework for Civic Education Reform”</td>
<td>Heather M. Voke, Georgetown University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>“Gender and Civic Engagement: Secondary Analysis of Survey Data”</td>
<td>Deborah L. Walsh and Krista Jenkins, Eagleton Institute of Politics, Center for American Women and Politics</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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PAST RESEARCH GRANTS

During its first two years, CIRCLE funded research on a variety of topics. Following are graphs showing the amount of funding allocated to each topic as well as the number of grants awarded by topic.

**GRAPHS 1 AND 2**

**Graph 1: Funding Levels For CIRCLE Proposals, Through Summer 2003**

- Political Participation and Voting: $591,803
- Community Participation: $314,936
- Civic Knowledge: $480,180
- Youth Attitudes and Beliefs: $278,918
- News and Entertainment: $200,705
- High School Civic Education: $169,769
- Service Learning: $112,940
- Higher Education: $228,978
- Non-College 18-25: $54,940
- Group Memberships: $212,698
- Concepts of Citizenship: $83,000
- Race, Gender, and Immigration Status: $25,000
- Other Area: $53,974

**Graph 2: Number of Funded Proposals, Through Summer 2003**

- Political Participation and Voting: 13
- Community Participation: 4
- Civic Knowledge: 4
- Youth Attitudes and Beliefs: 8
- News and Entertainment: 6
- High School Civic Education: 2
- Service Learning: 2
- Higher Education: 1
- Non-College 18-25: 3
- Group Memberships: 2
- Concepts of Citizenship: 4
- Race, Gender, and Immigration Status: 2
- Other Area: 1

**Graph 1 and 2 Notes:** Most CIRCLE grants can be categorized under one of the headings listed above. However, some grants are cross-cutting and therefore are listed under multiple categories.
whether Catholic schools do a better job than public schools in preparing students to be actively engaged in public life.

The study finds that students who attended Catholic high school in the 10th grade were more likely to vote as adults. This was true even when controlling for a wide variety of factors such as socio-economic status. However, the analysis also shows that those who attended Catholic school were no more likely to volunteer as adults than those who attended public school.

Dee cautions that readers should be aware of several caveats when interpreting the results. First, the correlation between Catholic education and adult voter turnout may be partly due to self-selection. For example, students whose families choose to send them to Catholic schools may have an unobserved propensity for civic participation. Dee finds that the conventional approaches for addressing the selectivity issue do not appear to be valid in this context. He also cautions that non-Catholic private schools may have very different effects on the civic development of their students. Copies of both papers can be downloaded from CIRCLE’s Web site (www.civicyouth.org).